

## **Review of currently available publications addressing the efficacy of egg freezing by vitrification**

The first pregnancy resulting from IVF with frozen eggs was reported in 1986 (1), followed by reports of the first birth the following year (2). Since then numerous publications from fertility centers around the world have reported successful pregnancies and births resulting from IVF using frozen eggs (3-34). To date nearly 1,000 live births resulting from frozen eggs have been reported, with no apparent increase in congenital abnormalities (35). Despite this experience, the most recent practice committee opinions of both the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and the American Society for Reproductive Medicine still consider egg freezing to be an investigational procedure (36, 37).

Results of egg freezing have been mixed. Many early studies of egg freezing reported relatively poor survival (3, 5, 7, 9, 12, 13, 15, 19-21, 27, 38-43), while in later studies survival rates of 90% or greater were achieved by many different groups working independently (10, 11, 16, 18, 22, 24, 29, 30, 44, 45). A few studies reported reduced fertilization or limited developmental capacity (21, 46-48), while most have demonstrated fertilization rates (7, 9-13, 15, 16, 18-20, 22, 24, 29, 30, 34, 39, 42, 45, 47), chromosomal status (16, 39, 42), embryonic development (7, 16, 20, 22, 30, 41, 42, 45, 49), and pregnancy rates (10, 15, 16, 18, 22, 24, 29, 30, 34, 45) similar to that achievable with non-frozen eggs.

The two basic methods that have been used to freeze eggs are slow cooling or rapid cooling (vitrification). While slow cooling is the more conventional and commonly used method of freezing eggs, many studies have demonstrated that egg survival (11, 16, 22, 24, 30, 44, 50-53) and subsequent embryonic development and pregnancy outcomes (16, 22, 23, 30, 44, 54) are considerably better when the vitrification method is used.

A comprehensive summary of published reports of survival and fertilization rates following human egg vitrification is provided in Table 1. Collectively, these studies report outcomes for 7,811 vitrified and thawed human eggs, of which 6,757 (86.5%) survived the vitrification and thawing procedures. A total of 5,502 of these vitrified and thawed eggs were subsequently inseminated by intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI), resulting in the successful fertilization of 4,239 eggs (77.0%). Closer examination of these reports suggests that the efficacy of egg vitrification has improved over time as the technique has been refined. Rates of survival and fertilization for reports published through 2006 were 79.7% (2120/2660) and 71.1% (744/1047) respectively. Among reports published in 2007 or later, rates of egg survival increased significantly ( $p < 0.0001$ ) to 90.0% (4637/5151, 95% confidence interval = 89.2-90.8). Fertilization rates also increased significantly ( $p < 0.0001$ ) to 78.5% (3495/4455, 95% confidence interval = 77.2-79.7) during this time, a success rate that is at least as high as can typically be achieved with conventional insemination of freshly retrieved eggs. The available literature thus indicates that the best estimates for egg survival and fertilization for vitrification as

currently practiced are 90% and 78% respectively. These reports also demonstrate that there is no apparent reduction in fertilization potential following vitrification.

**Table 1. Published reports of human egg survival and fertilization rates following vitrification.**

Author	Year	Eggs thawed	Eggs surviving	Percent survival	Eggs insemin.	Eggs fertilized	Percent fertilized
Hunter <i>et al.</i> (55)	1995	20	13	65%	13	9	69%
Hong <i>et al.</i> (54)	1999	106	98	92%	90	59	66%
Kuleshova <i>et al.</i> (6)	1999	17	11	65%	11	5	45%
Chen <i>et al.</i> (51)	2000	152	145	95%	145	73	50%
Katayama <i>et al.</i> (11)	2003	46	43	93%	43	39	91%
Liebermann <i>et al.</i> (52)	2003	1120	904	81%			
Yoon <i>et al.</i> (12)	2003	474	325	69%	198	142	72%
Chian <i>et al.</i> (56)	2005	180	169	94%	169	126	75%
Kim <i>et al.</i> (57)	2005	233	165	71%	131	77	59%
Kuwayama <i>et al.</i> (16)	2005	64	58	91%	58	52	90%
Kyono <i>et al.</i> (17)	2005	5	5	100%	5	5	100%
Ruvalcaba <i>et al.</i> (58)	2005	60	46	77%	46	38	83%
Lucena <i>et al.</i> (22)	2006	159	120	75%	120	105	88%
Selman <i>et al.</i> (59)	2006	24	18	75%	18	14	78%
Antinori <i>et al.</i> (24)	2007	330	328	99%	328	305	93%
Yoon <i>et al.</i> (60)	2007	364	302	83%	218	168	77%
Chang <i>et al.</i> (61)	2008	200	168	84%	168	140	83%
Cobo <i>et al.</i> (29)	2008	693	666	96%	666	487	73%
Cobo <i>et al.</i> (62)	2008	16	14	88%	14	10	71%
Cao <i>et al.</i> (63)	2009	292	268	92%	268	182	68%
Fadini <i>et al.</i> (64)	2009	285	225	79%	162	118	73%
Keskintepe <i>et al.</i> (65)	2009	690	665	96%	665	612	92%
Nagy <i>et al.</i> (66)	2009	153	134	88%	134	117	87%
Schoolcraft <i>et al.</i> (67)	2009	160	123	77%	123	97	79%
Cobo <i>et al.</i> (68)	2010	937	889	95%	889	643	72%
Grifo <i>et al.</i> (69)	2010	163	155	95%	155	115	74%
Kim <i>et al.</i> (70)	2010	395	320	81%	285	206	72%
Rienzi <i>et al.</i> (71)	2010	124	120	97%	120	95	79%
Smith <i>et al.</i> (72)	2010	349	260	74%	260	200	77%
<b>Total</b>		<b>7811</b>	<b>6757</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>5502</b>	<b>4239</b>	<b>77%</b>

Four studies have directly compared outcomes of IVF using vitrified versus fresh eggs retrieved from the same women, and performed at the same facilities using the same embryo culture protocols. Antinori *et al.* (24) compared results of IVF using freshly retrieved eggs to a subset of the same patients who returned for another IVF cycle using vitrified excess eggs after failing to achieve pregnancy in their fresh cycles. They found that vitrification was associated with an only slightly lower fertilization rate (93% vs 97%) and comparable rates of cleavage, pregnancy per embryo transfer, pregnancy loss, and implantation per egg inseminated compared to fresh eggs. These results are especially impressive given that all patients included in the vitrification group had failed to achieve pregnancy in their fresh cycle, suggesting that they had a poorer prognosis. Nagy *et al.* (66) compared results of IVF with vitrified donor eggs to the results of previous fresh donor IVF cycles by the same donors. Even though the numbers of eggs available to each recipient were much lower in the frozen versus the fresh cycles, fertilization rates, implantation rates, pregnancy rates per fresh transfer, and cumulative pregnancy rates (combining both fresh transfers and subsequent transfers of frozen embryos) were all as high in the vitrified egg cycles as in the prior fresh cycles. In a particularly well-controlled study Cobo *et al.* (30) compared fertilization rates and embryonic development between sibling eggs by prospective randomization of donor egg cohorts into fresh IVF or post-vitrification IVF treatment groups. They found no significant differences in fertilization rates, day 2 cleavage, day 3 cleavage, day 3 embryo quality, blastocyst formation, or blastocyst quality. In a similarly well-designed study, Rienzi *et al.* (71) prospectively randomized sibling eggs within cohorts retrieved from infertility patients to either fresh IVF or post-vitrification IVF. They found comparable fertilization rates, embryonic development, and embryo quality between the fresh and post-vitrification treatment groups. All four of these comparative studies collectively and consistently demonstrate that fertilization and embryonic development of vitrified eggs appear to be comparable to freshly retrieved eggs, and the two studies that compared implantation and pregnancy rates indicate that these most clinically important outcomes are also comparable.

A recent review estimated that approximately 460-510 babies have been born worldwide after egg vitrification (73). The most recent SART/ASRM Practice Committee opinion recommends estimating the live birth rate per vitrified and thawed egg at 4% (74), based entirely on a meta-analysis of egg freezing published in 2006 (23). This meta-analysis noted an apparent increase in the efficacy of egg vitrification over time as the procedure was refined. Publications of egg vitrification after June 2005 reported 39 live births resulting from 851 vitrified and thawed eggs (4.6%), a significantly higher success rate than prior publications reporting 10 births from 503 vitrified and thawed eggs (2.0%). Since the publication of this meta-analysis, reports of clinical use of vitrified eggs have increased substantially (Table 1), and demonstrate continuing improvement in vitrification efficacy. Five of these later studies included complete birth outcomes (29, 65-67, 70), collectively reporting 97 babies resulting from 1,461 vitrified and thawed eggs, a birth per egg rate significantly higher than that of the latter studies included in the 2006 meta-analysis (6.6% vs 4.6%  $p = 0.04$ ). The best estimate of the baby per egg rate for vitrification as currently practiced, based on the available literature, is therefore 6.6%.

Direct comparison of these birth outcomes with outcomes of IVF using fresh eggs is difficult, because outcomes of fresh cycles are generally reported per treatment cycle rather than per egg retrieved. The only exception is a 2009 study that evaluated egg-to-baby efficiency rates among fresh IVF treatment cycles (75). Following collection of 1,705 eggs in 87 donor egg cycles, 80 babies were born following fresh embryo transfer. In addition to fresh transfers, 372 embryos were frozen. Based on outcomes of frozen embryo transfers during the same time period, it was estimated that an additional 37 babies would be expected if all 372 frozen embryos were thawed and transferred. Thus the expected egg-to-baby efficiency if all viable embryos were utilized in fresh and subsequent frozen embryo transfers was 6.9% (117 babies from 1,705 eggs). Equivalently derived egg-to-baby efficiencies for patients using their own eggs were 4.4% (181/4152) among women <38 years, 3.0% (25/843) among women 38-40 years, and 1.0% (4/383) among women 41-42 years.

In order to supplement this limited published information, and to provide statistics more directly applicable to our center, we conducted a similar analysis of egg-to-baby efficiency of fresh IVF using our own clinical data. Over the five year period of 2004-2008, our center collected a total of 192,991 eggs in 14,324 retrieval procedures among infertility patients using their own eggs. During the same period, a total of 28,722 eggs collected from presumably fertile donors were used in 1,983 donor egg recipient cycles. Using the same methodology as used in the published report, we estimated the maximum number of babies that could have resulted from the use of all of these eggs by adding the estimated the number of babies that could potentially result from use of all frozen embryos (based on our observed rates of survival and birth among thawed and transferred frozen embryos) to the actual number of babies resulting from fresh embryo transfers. Our results indicated that approximately 6.5% of all eggs retrieved from donors were capable of producing a live baby. Among infertility patients using their own eggs, estimated maximum egg-to-baby efficiency rates were lower and declined with patient age: 5.2% for women <35 years; 4.4% for women 35-37 years; 3.3% for women 38-40 years; 1.8% for women 41-42 years; and 1.2% for women >42 years. Thus our results are in close agreement with the results of the published report. The results of our analysis and of the published report also demonstrate that the egg-to-baby efficiency rate of 6.6% estimated for egg vitrification as currently practiced is very similar to egg-to-baby efficiency rates calculated for conventional fresh IVF among the highest prognosis patients (i.e. those using donor eggs).

**We believe this emerging literature and data on egg freezing, addressing outcomes including post-thaw survival, fertilization, embryo development, pregnancy and birth rates demonstrate great promise for its use in a variety of clinical situations. Early studies on the health of children conceived after transfer of embryos derived following the thawing of previously frozen eggs are also encouraging.**

## References

1. Chen C. Pregnancy after human oocyte cryopreservation. *Lancet* 1986;1:884-6.
2. van Uem JF, Siebzehnruhl ER, Schuh B, Koch R, Trotnow S, Lang N. Birth after cryopreservation of unfertilized oocytes. *Lancet* 1987;1:752-3.
3. Porcu E, Fabbri R, Seracchioli R, Ciotti PM, Magrini O, Flamigni C. Birth of a healthy female after intracytoplasmic sperm injection of cryopreserved human oocytes. *Fertil Steril* 1997;68:724-6.
4. Nawroth F, Kissing K. Pregnancy after intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) of cryopreserved human oocytes. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 1998;77:462-3.
5. Polak de Fried E, Notrica J, Rubinstein M, Marazzi A, Gomez Gonzalez M. Pregnancy after human donor oocyte cryopreservation and thawing in association with intracytoplasmic sperm injection in a patient with ovarian failure. *Fertil Steril* 1998;69:555-7.
6. Kuleshova L, Gianaroli L, Magli C, Ferraretti A, Trounson A. Birth following vitrification of a small number of human oocytes: case report. *Hum Reprod* 1999;14:3077-9.
7. Porcu E, Fabbri R, Damiano G, Giunchi S, Fratto R, Ciotti PM *et al.* Clinical experience and applications of oocyte cryopreservation. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 2000;169:33-7.
8. Yoon TK, Chung HM, Lim JM, Han SY, Ko JJ, Cha KY. Pregnancy and delivery of healthy infants developed from vitrified oocytes in a stimulated in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer program. *Fertil Steril* 2000;74:180-1.
9. Boldt J, Cline D, McLaughlin D. Human oocyte cryopreservation as an adjunct to IVF-embryo transfer cycles. *Hum Reprod* 2003;18:1250-5.
10. Fosas N, Marina F, Torres PJ, Jove I, Martin P, Perez N *et al.* The births of five Spanish babies from cryopreserved donated oocytes. *Hum Reprod* 2003;18:1417-21.
11. Katayama KP, Stehlik J, Kuwayama M, Kato O, Stehlik E. High survival rate of vitrified human oocytes results in clinical pregnancy. *Fertil Steril* 2003;80:223-4.
12. Yoon TK, Kim TJ, Park SE, Hong SW, Ko JJ, Chung HM *et al.* Live births after vitrification of oocytes in a stimulated in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer program. *Fertil Steril* 2003;79:1323-6.
13. Borini A, Bonu MA, Coticchio G, Bianchi V, Cattoli M, Flamigni C. Pregnancies and births after oocyte cryopreservation. *Fertil Steril* 2004;82:601-5.
14. Porcu E, Fabbri R, Damiano G, Fratto R, Giunchi S, Venturoli S. Oocyte cryopreservation in oncological patients. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2004;113 Suppl 1:S14-6.
15. Chen SU, Lien YR, Chen HF, Chang LJ, Tsai YY, Yang YS. Observational clinical follow-up of oocyte cryopreservation using a slow-freezing method with 1,2-propanediol plus sucrose followed by ICSI. *Hum Reprod* 2005;20:1975-80.
16. Kuwayama M, Vajta G, Kato O, Leibo SP. Highly efficient vitrification method for cryopreservation of human oocytes. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2005;11:300-8.
17. Kyono K, Fuchinoue K, Yagi A, Nakajo Y, Yamashita A, Kumagai S. Successful pregnancy and delivery after transfer of a single blastocyst derived from a vitrified mature human oocyte. *Fertil Steril* 2005;84:1017.
18. Li XH, Chen SU, Zhang X, Tang M, Kui YR, Wu X *et al.* Cryopreserved oocytes of infertile couples undergoing assisted reproductive technology could be an important source of oocyte donation: a clinical report of successful pregnancies. *Hum Reprod* 2005;20:3390-4.
19. Boldt J, Tidswell N, Sayers A, Kilani R, Cline D. Human oocyte cryopreservation: 5-year experience with a sodium-depleted slow freezing method. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2006;13:96-100.
20. Borini A, Sciajno R, Bianchi V, Sereni E, Flamigni C, Coticchio G. Clinical outcome of oocyte cryopreservation after slow cooling with a protocol utilizing a high sucrose concentration. *Hum Reprod* 2006;21:512-7.
21. Levi Setti PE, Albani E, Novara PV, Cesana A, Morreale G. Cryopreservation of supernumerary oocytes in IVF/ICSI cycles. *Hum Reprod* 2006;21:370-5.
22. Lucena E, Bernal DP, Lucena C, Rojas A, Moran A, Lucena A. Successful ongoing pregnancies after vitrification of oocytes. *Fertil Steril* 2006;85:108-11.

23. Oktay K, Cil AP, Bang H. Efficiency of oocyte cryopreservation: a meta-analysis. *Fertil Steril* 2006;86:70-80.
24. Antinori M, Licata E, Dani G, Cerusico F, Versaci C, Antinori S. Cryotop vitrification of human oocytes results in high survival rate and healthy deliveries. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2007;14:72-9.
25. Barritt J, Luna M, Duke M, Grunfeld L, Mukherjee T, Sandler B *et al*. Report of four donor-recipient oocyte cryopreservation cycles resulting in high pregnancy and implantation rates. *Fertil Steril* 2007;87:189 e13-7.
26. Yang D, Brown SE, Nguyen K, Reddy V, Brubaker C, Winslow KL. Live birth after the transfer of human embryos developed from cryopreserved oocytes harvested before cancer treatment. *Fertil Steril* 2007;87:1469 e1-4.
27. Albani E, Barbieri J, Novara PV, Smeraldi A, Scaravelli G, Levi Setti PE. Oocyte cryopreservation. *Placenta* 2008;29 Suppl B:143-6.
28. Chian RC, Huang JY, Tan SL, Lucena E, Saa A, Rojas A *et al*. Obstetric and perinatal outcome in 200 infants conceived from vitrified oocytes. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2008;16:608-10.
29. Cobo A, Domingo J, Perez S, Crespo J, Remohi J, Pellicer A. Vitrification: an effective new approach to oocyte banking and preserving fertility in cancer patients. *Clin Transl Oncol* 2008;10:268-73.
30. Cobo A, Kuwayama M, Perez S, Ruiz A, Pellicer A, Remohi J. Comparison of concomitant outcome achieved with fresh and cryopreserved donor oocytes vitrified by the Cryotop method. *Fertil Steril* 2008;89:1657-64.
31. Konc J, Kanyo K, Varga E, Kriston R, Cseh S. Oocyte cryopreservation: the birth of the first Hungarian babies from frozen oocytes. *J Assist Reprod Genet* 2008;25:349-52.
32. Parmegiani L, Fabbri R, Cognigni GE, Bernardi S, Pocognoli P, Filicori M. Blastocyst formation, pregnancy, and birth derived from human oocytes cryopreserved for 5 years. *Fertil Steril* 2008;90:2014 e7-10.
33. Porcu E, Venturoli S, Damiano G, Ciotti PM, Notarangelo L, Paradisi R *et al*. Healthy twins delivered after oocyte cryopreservation and bilateral ovariectomy for ovarian cancer. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2008;17:265-7.
34. Kim TJ, Laufer LR, Hong SW. Vitrification of oocytes produces high pregnancy rates when carried out in fertile women. *Fertil Steril* 2009.
35. Noyes N, Porcu E, Borini A. Over 900 oocyte cryopreservation babies born with no apparent increase in congenital anomalies. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2009;18:769-76.
36. . ACOG Committee Opinion No. 405: ovarian tissue and oocyte cryopreservation. *Obstet Gynecol* 2008;111:1255-6.
37. . Ovarian tissue and oocyte cryopreservation. *Fertil Steril* 2008;90:S241-6.
38. Mandelbaum J, Junca AM, Plachot M, Alnot MO, Salat-Baroux J, Alvarez S *et al*. Cryopreservation of human embryos and oocytes. *Hum Reprod* 1988;3:117-9.
39. Gook DA, Osborn SM, Bourne H, Johnston WI. Fertilization of human oocytes following cryopreservation; normal karyotypes and absence of stray chromosomes. *Hum Reprod* 1994;9:684-91.
40. Kazem R, Thompson LA, Srikantharajah A, Laing MA, Hamilton MP, Templeton A. Cryopreservation of human oocytes and fertilization by two techniques: in-vitro fertilization and intracytoplasmic sperm injection. *Hum Reprod* 1995;10:2650-4.
41. Fabbri R, Porcu E, Marsella T, Primavera MR, Seracchioli R, Ciotti PM *et al*. Oocyte cryopreservation. *Hum Reprod* 1998;13 Suppl 4:98-108.
42. Cobo A, Rubio C, Gerli S, Ruiz A, Pellicer A, Remohi J. Use of fluorescence in situ hybridization to assess the chromosomal status of embryos obtained from cryopreserved oocytes. *Fertil Steril* 2001;75:354-60.
43. Akin JW, Bell KA, Thomas D, Boldt J. Initial experience with a donor egg bank. *Fertil Steril* 2007;88:497 e1-4.
44. Cao YX, Xing Q, Li L, Cong L, Zhang ZG, Wei ZL *et al*. Comparison of survival and embryonic development in human oocytes cryopreserved by slow-freezing and vitrification. *Fertil Steril* 2008.

45. Grifo JA, Noyes N. Delivery rate using cryopreserved oocytes is comparable to conventional in vitro fertilization using fresh oocytes: potential fertility preservation for female cancer patients. *Fertil Steril* 2009.
46. Hunter JE, Bernard A, Fuller B, Amso N, Shaw RW. Fertilization and development of the human oocyte following exposure to cryoprotectants, low temperatures and cryopreservation: a comparison of two techniques. *Hum Reprod* 1991;6:1460-5.
47. Chamayou S, Alecci C, Ragolia C, Storaci G, Maglia E, Russo E *et al.* Comparison of in-vitro outcomes from cryopreserved oocytes and sibling fresh oocytes. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2006;12:730-6.
48. Magli MC, Lappi M, Ferraretti AP, Capoti A, Alessandra R, Gianaroli L. Impact of oocyte cryopreservation on embryo development. *Fertil Steril* 2009.
49. Gook DA, Schiewe MC, Osborn SM, Asch RH, Jansen RP, Johnston WI. Intracytoplasmic sperm injection and embryo development of human oocytes cryopreserved using 1,2-propanediol. *Hum Reprod* 1995;10:2637-41.
50. Pensis M, Loumaye E, Psalti I. Screening of conditions for rapid freezing of human oocytes: preliminary study toward their cryopreservation. *Fertil Steril* 1989;52:787-94.
51. Chen SU, Lien YR, Chao K, Lu HF, Ho HN, Yang YS. Cryopreservation of mature human oocytes by vitrification with ethylene glycol in straws. *Fertil Steril* 2000;74:804-8.
52. Liebermann J, Tucker MJ, Sills ES. Cryoloop vitrification in assisted reproduction: analysis of survival rates in > 1000 human oocytes after ultra-rapid cooling with polymer augmented cryoprotectants. *Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol* 2003;30:125-9.
53. Ciotti PM, Porcu E, Notarangelo L, Magrini O, Bazzocchi A, Venturoli S. Meiotic spindle recovery is faster in vitrification of human oocytes compared to slow freezing. *Fertil Steril* 2009;91:2399-407.
54. Hong SW, Chung HM, Lim JM, Ko JJ, Yoon TK, Yee B *et al.* Improved human oocyte development after vitrification: a comparison of thawing methods. *Fertil Steril* 1999;72:142-6.
55. Hunter JE, Fuller BJ, Bernard A, Jackson A, Shaw RW. Vitrification of human oocytes following minimal exposure to cryoprotectants; initial studies on fertilization and embryonic development. *Hum Reprod* 1995;10:1184-8.
56. Chian RC, Son WY, Huang JY, Cui SJ, Buckett WM, Tan SL. High Survival Rates and Pregnancies of Human Oocytes Following Vitrification: Preliminary Report. *Fertil Steril* 2005;84:S36.
57. Kim T, Hong S, Cha K. Pregnancies From Cryopreserved Oocytes Using Vitrification Protocol. *Fertil Steril* 2005;84:S179.
58. Ruvalcaba L, Marinez R, Cuneo S, Chanona J, Beltran M, Bermudez A. Improving Donor Programs With An Oocyte Bank Using Vitrification. *Fertil Steril* 2005;84:S70.
59. Selman H, Angelini A, Barnocchi N, Brusco GF, Pacchiarotti A, Aragona C. Ongoing pregnancies after vitrification of human oocytes using a combined solution of ethylene glycol and dimethyl sulfoxide. *Fertil Steril* 2006;86:997-1000.
60. Yoon TK, Lee DR, Cha SK, Chung HM, Lee WS, Cha KY. Survival rate of human oocytes and pregnancy outcome after vitrification using slush nitrogen in assisted reproductive technologies. *Fertil Steril* 2007;88:952-6.
61. Chang CC, Shapiro DB, Bernal DP, Wright G, Kort HI, Nagy ZP. Human oocyte vitrification: in-vivo and in-vitro maturation outcomes. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2008;17:684-8.
62. Cobo A, Bellver J, Domingo J, Perez S, Crespo J, Pellicer A *et al.* New options in assisted reproduction technology: the Cryotop method of oocyte vitrification. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2008;17:68-72.
63. Cao YX, Xing Q, Li L, Cong L, Zhang ZG, Wei ZL *et al.* Comparison of survival and embryonic development in human oocytes cryopreserved by slow-freezing and vitrification. *Fertil Steril* 2009;92:1306-11.
64. Fadini R, Brambillasca F, Renzini MM, Merola M, Comi R, De Ponti E *et al.* Human oocyte cryopreservation: comparison between slow and ultrarapid methods. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2009;19:171-80.

65. Keskinetepe L, Agca Y, Sher G, Keskinetepe M, Maassarani G. High survival rate of metaphase II human oocytes after first polar body biopsy and vitrification: determining the effect of previtrification conditions. *Fertil Steril* 2009;92:1706-15.
66. Nagy ZP, Chang CC, Shapiro DB, Bernal DP, Elsner CW, Mitchell-Leef D *et al.* Clinical evaluation of the efficiency of an oocyte donation program using egg cryo-banking. *Fertil Steril* 2009;92:520-6.
67. Schoolcraft WB, Keller JL, Schlenker T. Excellent embryo quality obtained from vitrified oocytes. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2009;19:820-3.
68. Cobo A, Romero JL, Perez S, de los Santos MJ, Meseguer M, Remohi J. Storage of human oocytes in the vapor phase of nitrogen. *Fertil Steril* 2010:(in press).
69. Grifo JA, Noyes N. Delivery rate using cryopreserved oocytes is comparable to conventional in vitro fertilization using fresh oocytes: potential fertility preservation for female cancer patients. *Fertil Steril* 2010;93:391-6.
70. Kim TJ, Laufer LR, Hong SW. Vitrification of oocytes produces high pregnancy rates when carried out in fertile women. *Fertil Steril* 2010;93:467-74.
71. Rienzi L, Romano S, Albricci L, Maggiulli R, Capalbo A, Baroni E *et al.* Embryo development of fresh 'versus' vitrified metaphase II oocytes after ICSI: a prospective randomized sibling-oocyte study. *Hum Reprod* 2010;25:66-73.
72. Smith GD, Serafini PC, Fioravanti J, Yadid I, Coslovsky M, Hassun P *et al.* Prospective randomized comparison of human oocyte cryopreservation with slow-rate freezing or vitrification. *Fertil Steril* 2010:(in press).
73. Nagy ZP, Chang CC, Shapiro DB, Bernal DP, Kort HI, Vajta G. The efficacy and safety of human oocyte vitrification. *Semin Reprod Med* 2009;27:450-5.
74. . Essential elements of informed consent for elective oocyte cryopreservation: a Practice Committee opinion. *Fertil Steril* 2008;90:S134-5.
75. Patrizio P, Sakkas D. From oocyte to baby: a clinical evaluation of the biological efficiency of in vitro fertilization. *Fertil Steril* 2009;91:1061-6.